

God exists and there is no evidence against this absolute truth

By Maina Kibe

The existence of God is a fact that cannot be refuted. Having no reason to believe that God exists does not mean he doesn't. It's a matter of one choosing to seek in order to find him. One cannot base an argument on a fallacy and still try to prove an assumption like what atheists today are doing.

I would like to debate below:

History, nature and the conscience prove this irrefutable and immutable fact that God exists contrary to what was published on 24th April 2014 by Mr. Harrison Mumia, President of atheists in Kenya. Better still, there are medical evidence showing miracle healing where medical science has failed to cure various diseases and ailments. Archeological evidence has also proven the existence of God.

I paraphrase the greatest scientist of all time, Albert Einstein, who said:

"The more one seeks evidence against God the more one sees the proof that he really exists..."

Argument based on nature (cosmological argument)

Looking at the handiwork, incredible design and finesse of the universe, we can behold the awe and wonder of its designer since there can never be design without a designer. Besides, despite knowledge in science and anthropology, human beings can only predict what follows what in terms of the seasons and weather patterns. Scientists can only use what is provided by the natural design to their advantage. In this regard therefore we can argue that scientists discover what is provided for by nature in order to invent things for their comfort, convenience and advantage. Thus, without a designer, there could be no invention.

Looking at nature itself there is perfect balance. People burn fossil fuels, use water in unorthodox ways, pollute air and still continue to breath continuously,. However these resources have never been depleted. The universe (cosmos as atheists call it) has ways of renewing / replenishing these recourses/reserves like natural gas and oil. In fact more of these are being discovered.

The world is too huge too deep and wide for scientists to bet that there is no existence of deity. They have only managed to explore a few patches with their limited resources and imperfect common senses. The depth of seas, land mass and their every nook and cranny still remain unexplored and exploited.

Atheists argue to and fro about chance; that all exist on chance.

On the contrary, I would like to reiterate that chance is nothing. Even a coin falling on its head or tail is determined by several variables. For example, the force of the drop, height, surface, number of spins and so on.

If migration of wild beasts to the south is by chance, then we would never know next time they migrate and which route they would use. Our right guess would be one part in a million. If weather patterns were by chance, farmers would miscalculate the next summer and crops would fail. A single night would fall somewhere in between 11am and 3pm Chaos! Chaos! Chaos! But with a perfect design from an inerrant designer keeps the sun rising and setting same time year in year out. Days are equal, seasons predictable to a whole, miners are able to know environments favorable for iron ore whereas oil explorers know what exactly what to look for when exploring for it and so on.

Since science is never final but rather an evolving and dynamic entity, we cannot argue that God does not exist. A little beyond the splat atheists will discover the deity if only they would search for him without bias.

Argument based on History

To bring my readers closer home I would like to use Jews as a case in point or study:

Ever since the holocaust of the twentieth century, the Jews have been under the attack of mean-spirited unfair people with wayward ideologies. However, through the intervention of a supreme force the Jews have been able to retain their identity hitherto and even control major economies, security mechanisms and technologies all over the world. We therefore have American Jews, Russian Jews, South African, Ethiopian Jews and so on. What else on earth can be so consistent and reliably accurate as to make their existence a reality despite massive campaigns to make them extinct if not by an act of a supernatural being?

Another example is the story about the French infidel Voltaire who had vowed to single handedly bring down Christianity, which Jesus had used his lifetime to build, in two years. Surprisingly enough, his study room became the world's leading Gideon's international Bible press to date. We cannot argue that this is a mere coincidence/ chance but rather an act of God.

Read the unique book Psalms 19:1-6

Moral and ontological argument

Ever since the existence of man, (where I would wish to refute the fact that man has evolved and postpone the debate to a future analysis) humans have had a feeling of duty and obligation to be good to themselves and other people. This is put in mankind by the source of all creation, God. . To illustrate and emphasize this I would love to quote Mumia's words that he "...will instill values of honesty, fairness and compassion..." to his children. Mr. Mumia himself has also paradoxically re-emphasized on compassion in paragraph number three (3) (but it's a content fallacy or innuendo on his part).

Besides, when someone goes contrary to the norms, rules and regulations of the society, by default they feel self condemnation even without prior knowledge of the law. This reinforces the fact that the chief good is never relative but absolute. Hence the universal international law regarding good and evil.

Read the unique book Romans 1:16-25

Common sense/logic is unreliably imperfect

Reason and rational thought as the basis of logic as cited by Atheist Mumia is meant to insinuate that we need to heavily rely on the common senses i.e. sight, smell, touch, sound and taste.

However, to refute this fact I would like to reiterate the fact that common senses cannot be reliably perfect for the following reasons:

- One our memories sometimes are lousy and oftentimes we memory fades with time especially during both encoding and decoding. Memory is stored as image either on implicit and explicit memory bank. Yes!! You have said it, *implicit and explicit*. Notice the two words here?
- Two, searching for God with a pre-conceived notion that he doesn't exist makes it almost impossible to see him even when he stands in front of ones very eyes. This is due to the bias.
- Three, as humans get older, **these** senses are affected by diseases and complications (if any) that are associated with old age. In addition, other environmental factors can ruin our senses. So one may seize to use them optimally. Whether one is a scientist or not, these facts affect their use of the five senses. We can thus conclude that Common sense/logic is unreliably imperfect.
-

Evil (hell, pain and disease e.t.c) is an act of necessity

Atheists believe that God is unfair, vindictive, brutal, unforgiving and selfish as purported by Mumia.

On the contrary, I would like to refute this claim. Let me illustrate:

Governments all over the world mean good for their people and that is why they are elected by the people to lead them. Paradoxically enough these same governments have put up prisons (evil/pain) with guards and police men. Why is that? The answer to this is simple: prisons are not good but necessary others planet earth would be uninhabitable. In the same way God created evil as a necessity to tame man. This does not whatsoever mean that God has lost it or that He is weak. Unless tamed this way man (having been created in God's own image with logic and rationale and willpower of unimaginable proportions, *psalms 8:4*) is able to abuse freedom for his selfish ends besides seeking dominion from within outside of God.

Likewise, science with which we are asked to base our rational thinking does not free us from pain and suffering. Inventions of weapons like AK47 and other sub munitions and missiles have continued to wreck havoc on the world today until when the UN started peace bombs mission n disarmament programs; not against God but fellow humans. Technological advances have created viruses and hackers who fleece people and make their lives unbearable. On the contrary God has used these evils for good; to proof that man cannot be trusted fully and that no manmade evil can destroy mother earth or wipe away a race unless with God's nod. Hence, this is total balance as discussed earlier. Therefore we cannot hinge on science and atheism.

Atheists fear death too

In as much as atheists welcome death as unavoidable stage in life, in actual fact they fear and dread it. This is why we see them cry/mourn during funerals. Based on Mumia's own words, at one time he called out 'Oh God' when he was on a near collision course with death. We therefore conclude that his mentioning of God was not based on the premise that he still suffers from the 'regrettable memories' of Christianity, but rather a blatant battle against his conscience which by default reminds him of the existence of God.

The bible is consistent.

One thing that Mumia is not telling the populace is what he purports the bible is inconsistent against. One cannot talk about a counterfeit without a superior thing (the original) to compare. It would be plausible for the atheist to answer the following question .

The bible is inconsistent compared to what?

However, I would like to assume that the inconsistencies Mumia is talking about are those found in the four Gospels in the great book, the Bible. Worse still am not sure he means the pragmatics or semantics of the great book. If my guess is right, then I herein would like to say that there is a great difference between an inconsistency and a difference. I therefore choose to say the following:

If two or four people witnessed an accident happen and were asked to write what they saw, it is logically agreeable that these individuals will have very conspicuous differences in their wording and thought flow depending on a number of factors to list but a few. Namely:

- Their personality.(some people like the sanguine are pompous, others use slanders while some may downplay and yet others up-play an event, e.t.c
- Level of education,
- memory differences (some are more vivid than others)
- Time lapse between incident and writing (vivid memory fades with time,
- Mental status (stress, depression, etc) at time of encoding and decoding information /images
- Editorial, if any, and so on..
- These and more create a huge difference in the desired functional interpretation (word for word) but do not at all affect or distort the dynamic (thought for thought) interpretation. However, bible writers arrive at the same conclusion about God's existence. Therefore it would be a flimsy excuse to falsely conclude, based on false premises, that bible writings are inconsistent.

The bible (whose discovered/found manuscripts are almost twenty five thousand in number, written by different authors in different times and locations) is still the most widely read, most consistent and coherent book the world over. This is an act of the supernatural being, God. Whatever is initiated by man is dynamic, short-lived and unreliably errant. That is why one sees thesis, synthesis and philosophies being challenged over time by emerging scholars. Funny enough man thinks he can challenge God's existence and workings too. This is absolutely laughable!

To summarize I would like to say that faith in God makes one lose nothing whereas lack of faith in God can make one lose purposeful living and eternal life. In addition, one's purpose in life can only be found

in their creator/designer who is God. Life outside of God is empty n regrettable. It is God who knows the reason we exist just like a potter knows the reason for the clay he moulds.

Below are words said by renowned atheists on their death beds:

Maina Kibe, 36, is a life coach, motivational speaker and researcher.

He also does public speaking consultancy.

mainakcounselor@yahoo.com